G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1917.

(Allowed)

File No. 151-11

Serial Nos. 1–2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—2

Part B for August 1917

SUBJECT.

Restoration of the Inam Village of Kanniee Narayanapura endowed to Sri Vikkaraie.

---

553 Dy 31.8.17. To the Dy Commr Kolar for copy of the order passed in the matter —

W D 88—GPB—50,000—21 7-16

I.N. Lakshmanan
Br VII

G.R.S. 11] 1907.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Revenue)

NOTES.

File No. 15 1 – 11

FILE HEADING.

Petition from the Agent of the Sri Ahobila Matt praying for a re consideration of the Govt Order re the Village of Kanive Narayana pura endorsed to the Sri

From

K. Vyasachar, Agent of the Matt

No. Petition Dated 28/8/06 Received

Diary No. 202	Enclos.	Spare Copies -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that after enquiry the above Village may be ordered to be restored to the Sri Ahobila Matt

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The previous references file no 192 of 1906 are herewith submitted The circumstances under which the Village of Kanive Narayana Pura in the Chickballapur Taluk was ordered to be restored to the godadar Dharmandi

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—15,000—24-4-09

Serial No.

Raghavendrachar are explained in G.O. no 691-2 Dy 25th July 1906.

3 The Agent of the matt prays for a re-consideration of the order for the following among other reasons:—
1) that the village belongs to the matt and the Patta thereof is in the name of Sri Ramachandra Devaru and till 1871-2 it was in the possession of the matt
2) that some 4 years ago, the village came under Govt management and Raghavendrachar on production of some false documents, got the village released from attachment and took it to his possession, that the matt is ignorant of the action taken and that no notice was given to it,
3) that the conditions of the grant are not being fulfilled and the alienation is opposed to the Rules of 1877.

The Agent prays for the restoration of the village to the matt for Padita and Deeparadhane to Sri Ramachandra Devaru.

Submitted for orders. Second Councillor

13/9/11 In accordance with the proviso

X 13 order of Nov 1906 all the Inam Villages of the Uttaradi Matt were attached Kavi

GPB—50 09-12 8 10

Serial No.

Narayanpura was also attached.
One Dhanvantari Raghavendra Char represented that this village is his and did not belong to this Matt.
The Deputy Commr upheld this contention. Govt in his order dropped the remained not only about this village from attachment but also revised the Inam Settlement Register. The matter was not connected.
The Matters were that the document produced by Dhanvantri Raghavendra Char are spurious and that this Village belongs to this Matt.
If the order of Nov-1904 had not been passed this Village would have continued in the enjoyment of Dhanvantri Raghavendra Char.
The Village being in possession of a third party need not have been attached; or if attached might have been released on that ground without affecting the rights of this Matt.
Was this omission of the Inam settlement officer a ground of this suit that gives it a ground for complaint.
In this letter of July 1906 it is said that in

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,00 - 12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 151 of 19 11

Serial No.

1891 as to the Math's claimed ownership of the Village". This is an error; not mentioned in the boundaries which are as in the sketch, now enclosed.

[illegible]

14.9.1911

316. Please verify the Sit setting the records.

The Petr may be asked to appear before me & explain his case.

14/9/11

[illegible]

Submitted with a Dft Issue. Returnable on 18th Nov. next. If records are not recd before 20th Oct. accdg

18/9/1911

Dft. notice submitted.

19/9/11

Submitted with a Remr. Mr Narasinga moved for an adjt. Adjd for one day.

Date be intimated to party after it is fixed

By order

1/11/1911

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000-12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 151 of 1911

Serial No.

(No 3732/161 Dy 20.11.11)

The Dy. Commr has submitted the Vernacular records relating to Kanive Narayanapura village.
Submitted for orders
25.11.11

(Dft letter is ready)

As regards the statement in the previous page viz in 1891 or so, the Matt "disclaimed the ownership of the village", it is submitted that in the English memo received with the Vern records, it is said that "At about this time (in July 1886), the Swamy of the Matt happened to be at Chikkaballapur and he seemed to have told the Dy. Shiristedar that the Matt had no interest whatever in the village as it was given away to others as a gift"

(Vide Tq. Shiristedar's Report Dy 19.7.1886)

Submitted
1.7.13

Subd to Hon'ble Councillor

The above statement of the Swamigalu was made to the Shiristedar who was deputed by the Amildar to interview the Swamigalu as regards the arrears due from the village. The record is formal and

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 00 1-12 8 10

Serial No.

in the usual course of business and is quite admissible. The Inamdar is reported to have stated that the Mutt has no interest in the village and if the inam could not pay the arrears, he has no objection to the Govt. resuming the land.

The inam register shows clearly the previous history of the village. There is no doubt that the village was originally Brahmadaya and subsequently purchased by the Mutt. It is also compulsorily enfranchisable as has been noted in the Inam register. The original character of the Inam as Brahmadaya must be considered to have continued in the hands of the Inamdar and the entry in the Land Record Register as Devadaya appears to be therefore wrong. Under the above circumstances the order of Govt. now applied for seems to appear to be correct. The Agent of the Mutt has not disclosed the documents on which he relies and hence nothing can be said as regards them

Sd
1.7.15

G R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 |

Serial No.

Mr. Vyasaraja Rao prays that same date in the latter part of August. & obtain the documents on which he relies & may be so posted next date of hearing

Sd

Dep. Registrar (Judl.) to the 14th May

Mr. Narasingarao, Advocate, has presented on 29th August 1913 a written Statement Kanchi Narayanapura village claimed by the Sri Uttaradi Matt

Submitted
NC 132
6.9.1913

Admittedly the village was purchased by the Swamigalu and cannot be termed Sthanika and Archaka Inams properly so called. The arguments now advanced do not appear to require further examination

Submitted & 18th Counsellors

Sd

The Agent will be heard. Same day as the other two Cases. 13.11.13

22/11/13

Sd 24/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65 000—17-8-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 |

Serial No.

Submtd with Affk notice to the 4th Decr
AV(115) 25 11 13 Bl
Appd Lch 25/11.

Ptd to 8th Sept. Some writn
of the opponent. D. Raghavendracha also some
time reqd. accd
Ch
25.7.14

Affk. notices submtd
MV(115) 28.7.1914 Appd Ch

28th Jany. 1915 Affk. notice submtd
MV(115) 4.1.1915 Bl Appd Ch

Mr. H. Narasinga Rao for applts.
Mr. Canad'e & Cl. for Govt
Some writn & Reply 28/1

Submtd with Affk notice
MV(115) 30-1-1915 Bl Appd Mr 30

A statement of arguments has been printed for the appellant.
The statement of Raghavendracha
& also that of the respdt. are required
after the Matter comes on

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

taken as establishing as against the party in possession that the matter was at the time in the enjoyment of the village. Being village was originally granted by Govt. for the maintenance of the Mutha, it's alienation from Govt. was unauthorised & by persons not entitled to the same

Ag
1/5/1

The Govt. has presented his answer-note and. The arguments put forward from the ground already traversed from Govt. order and call for no special remarks

Ag
3/3/15

Heard

The inam was not originally one granted to the Mutha & so the rules of 1877 cannot be applied to oust the respondent from possession. Petn dismissed-

4/3/15

Draft order
Ag
5/3/15

~~Submitted with a draft order~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.P.P.—35,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 151 of 191

Serial No.

Submd. with a Spl. order.

AM (115)
11.3.1915 SL
13.3.15

Submd. for orders with a Spl. order
and a Spl. cutting from paper

AM (4W)
8.8.1917 Secy)

MB
10/8/17

The drafts approved by the Secy may issue.

MB.
29/8/17

2 No: 553 dt 31.8.17, & dr: Kolar, forwarding copy of the G.O. declaring that the rule of 1877 cannot be made applicable to the case in question but that the reports from heads of the village, & dismissing the [illegible]

No 554 dt 31.8.17 to the Asst Secy of the Board [illegible]

the Secretary Collector's letter in this case might if convenient be dealt with [illegible] in Collector's capacity.

AM 11.8.17.

Secy
9/9/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—10,000—13-6-14



VIII

1728/8

84
1728/14

Partition suits with minors

8/8/1884

M
Mad
13.9.11

మిల్లసింగు కాంపౌండు వలుచరాయలు లంకా కోర్టువారి

ఎదుట కనబడ్డాను—

మిల్లసింగుచూ వరాచ కోడపి వీరవల్లి గ్రామస్థులు

వలుచ్చరాది వరదవిచంపు కోలాటపల్లి వెల్లసాం చూస్తూ బర

దు పిన్నింగ అభ్యుదాని—

కోలాటపల్లి గ్రామస్థులు చిన్న బుల్లి వురదసాల్లనంది

దుండబల్లి రంగుసేనాపతి అనే వురవేంబ స్త్రీవులపు ఉర్కరాదిసు

రక్షి శాలిద్దు. ఈ స్త్రీవులకు శ్రీకాకుళు చూంద్ర దేవర కీసరశిశిష్టులి

గాధీ. ఇదుసరక్షణ వుసు స్వామి సాళ్ళవర కాలదవరివసుం అందరి

కుంపురు 1841-42 నాటలుద్రి సంగం వరదస్వాధీనాసులక్ష

వదల్లిక్కే

సన్నిధదవరు తూర్పు గవలు కసాళ్ళ రోడ్డుకి వరదస్వామి

భుజం వుసాళ్ళస్థ చిరస్థి వుండిదాన ఈస్త్రీవులపు వరదిందరవు

కొబుంరోండు సదరస్త్రీ వరదల్లి తువ ధస్థంత్రి రంగాచూర్యుర

వుల్లిళ్ళు రాక్షి మోస్త్రీ చూర్పు కలలిసిపు భ్యుకంగద సాళ్ళస్థ

దూంచర్నాతి చిరస్థి వుంద మిలాం కుపుం తిసి పిన్నింటరు చెళ్ళ

వెప్పు ధీనవర తిసి పిన్నింటరులు దూంక్ష రోళ్ళ వల బుళుళ్ళ చిరస్థి

మిలాం కుసరవళ్ళ కోళ్ళు వరళ్ళ కూంత్రి చిల్లా. వరళ్ళియం

శంగుంటమీసుం చూంతి అనల్లా.

లుస్త్రీ వులు వుంవరు చిన్నుల్లిక్ష వరళ్ళ కోరిచర్చే

తెలుగు భాషా పత్రిక వారి వారం — 1877 నుండి నవంబరు 6 వ తేదీ వరకు 266 నుండి సంబంధించిన వివరాలు కొన్నింటిని మీ నోటీసు కి తీసుకొని వెళ్లి వారి పత్రిక వారి పత్రిక కొరకు వ్రాసి ఉన్నాను.

తెలుగు పత్రిక వారి పత్రిక వారి పత్రిక వారి

G.R.S. 29A]

190

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Commr

Dated Kolar Distt

Despatched 30-9-1911

Received

General No. 774

Inwd No. 151-11

Enclosure No. —

(Reminder No 946 Dt 28-10-911)

Has the honor to request
(Communication enclosed)
the return of the entire
Vernacular records from
(Circular No 9762 dd 31-5-06 and subsequent)
with Govt Pro no. 691-2
Dt 25th July 1906 relating
to the restoration of the
Kanive Narayanapura
Village in the Chickballapur
Taluk to Dharmanthe
(by Thirumalaya)
Raghavendrachar, in
Connection with an applicatn

MGC FB—20,000—16-2-05

from the Agent of the

a interim matter for the matter
a re-consideration of the
above order.

Foreign Office Secretary
to the Viceroy

By order,

(C. Wood)

18/9/14

for Secy. to the Govt.
18/9/14

From The Deputy Commissi
Kolar Distt

To
Secretary to the Government of
His Excellency
General & Member of Council, Bangalore.
Mysore Branch

Dated 20th November 1911

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

DIARY No. 822
1911-1912
SECTION Mysore
FILE No. 151-11
SERIAL No.

Submitting the Vernacular Records relating to the restoration of Karive Narayanapura Village

Continues note
BL
23/11/11

GPB—75 reams—25 5-10

3732/1161
1 file

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT
Dated 20th November 1911

From C. Sri Kanteswara Aiyar Esq
BA BL
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

To. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq BA
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.
(Muzrai Branch)

Sir

With reference to your docket No. 774 - muz 151 - 11 dated 30th September 1911, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire Vernacular Records relating to restoration of Kanive Narayanapura Village, as requested

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

K Kesavamurthy
Commissioner

Enc D

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 31 of 1910-11

Petr
~~Appellant~~.

Agent of Sri uttaradi matt

Vs.

Cr. petr.
~~Respondent~~.

Hanumanthachar and others minor Sons of Raghavendrachar Deceased. Their mother and Guardian Rajamma.

Subject.

Review - petition no. 202 dated 2d August 1911 from the Agent of Sri Rayaru uttaradi matt praying for a re-consideration of Govt order no. 691-2 dated 25th July 1906 directing the restoration to Dharmasthi Raghavendrachar, of the Joodi Village of Kanave Narayanapura in the Chickballapur Taluk endowed

GPB—500—12-8-13

Sri Uttaradi matt.

Decision

The above case was finally heard by Smt. on 4th March 1915, the contending parties being present in person and also represented by their Advocates.

The inam Village of Kanive Narayanapura in petition was not originally one granted to the matt and so the Rules of 1894 cannot be applied to oust the respts from possession. Petition is accordingly dismissed.

Memo no. 553 / 151-11-21 dt 31-8-1915 Enquiry

Copy to the Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist, with the records received with letter no. 3732/161 dt 20.11.1911.

B.O.
11.8.17

Comm's Court Mun.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)